Author name: Anonymous

Date of submission: Thursday, 4 May 2017

Submission: Good Afternoon, Thank you for inviting feedback. I and my wife invested heavily in Solar Tracking systems at 10kw each in the early part of the FIT scheme. It has effectively been cost neutral,, ie no real profit or loss given the large investment and ongoing maintenance. The low FIT received from the Elec Retailers previously does not reflect the cost of their 'transport' of the power to the user and as you note the admin in the system. The proposed = draft FIT does. AT least in principle and I am in no position as are other Solar Generators to assess what those costs are. Your services are appreciated in this respect. I do urge you to consider what structure might be considered as batteries come on line. There would be existing capacity and opportunity to increase battery capacity either privately or by the Retailers to level the supply of power over a 24hr period or longer. Our systems are clearly more than what we can consume and are a business investment which given the upkeep on trackers the return does not justify the input/work. However it was an ethical decision to commit to the investment at the time. WE are in a position where battery storage could provide a quantum of power on demand but lack the expertise to interface with all retailers and battery suppliers to pick a system for longevity. I would encourage your office to examine the metering arrangement currently being endorsed as I had to pay for my meters to be changed at the start of the FIT and now changed again to have Net Metering when this could have been calculated with the old meters? Some planning/structure needs to be in place even in the face of new technologies. Venture capital does not flow where nothing is certain. Clearly battery storage and the Australian desire for independence financially and personally will move forward. Make a framework - think ahead. I trust my submission meets with some consideration for this review and subsequently. Thanks.