

**Sent:** Wednesday, 29 July 2015 1:08 PM

**To:** 'Local Government Mailbox' <[localgovernment@ipart.nsw.gov.au](mailto:localgovernment@ipart.nsw.gov.au)>

**Subject:** RE: Information for addition to submissions randwick Council Submission

Hello Lucy,

I was hoping that my comments that Randwick Council have shown an attitude or Agenda /bias against myself as a resident who would seem to hold the majority expressed will to not merge and that so ill-informed or made aware of all the facts related to this issue by the now government before the election That MY INCLUSION and the FALSE account might be given to the IPAT PANNEL so that they can see more information on propriety , due diligence and factual presentation.

Randwick Council who speak so praiseworthyly of their PRECINCT and community interaction , Last Night effectively GAGGED them to the point of ineffectiveness. The Community freedom of speech was voted against.

I feel IPART PANNEL NEED TO KNOW THIS.

I feel it indicates quite implicitly that in order for this process to proceed and the protection of Due diligence and propriety of IPART a state wide referendum asking simply of all individuals: "DO YOU WANT YOUR COUNCIL TO MERGE?" no hidden smoke and mirror distortions or interpretations.

Then and only then is there a mandate to progress to any other merger options.

This has clearly been missing in this whole process and is a MAJOR oversight that needs rectifying.

I feel IPARTS integrity in finding other wise will be negatively impacted in not only the public opinion but politically.

What Randwick have done is implement the governments directive AGAINST the community wishes and has distorted and misrepresented to the public.

I require MY COMMENTS to be placed alongside Randwick councils so that ALL can get a clear presentation

ESPECTIALLY IPART PANEL- It is my understanding this is the process and in that Randwick have placed me in it I believe it should all be up there as long as MY comments and further presentation is also there.

Regards

Margaret Hogg

**Sent:** Thursday, 23 July 2015 2:59 PM

**To:** IPART Mailbox

**Subject:** Information for addition to submissions randwick Council Submission

Dear IPART ,

I have been through the Randwick City Council submission and find that there are a few things that I need to address to you.

The fact that they have included a picture of me in it has compelled me to make a few things clear to you.

Firstly to explain that the below is a copy of the email I have sent yesterday the 22<sup>nd</sup> of July 2015 upon seeing their submission:

(it was address to all councillors)

DO YOU REMEMBER THIS?

-you should have!

You will remember the election was upon us and complaints from community had been sent to you re the questioning and impression given by the BOOKLET sent to residents as a miss representation and calling for substantiation that did not come.

They were reiterating their overwhelming voice to NO AMALGAMATION.

I want to draw attention to the use of me in the photos in your submission and again the miss representation given That I was telling them HOW TO VOTE- That is untrue.

I question also why you even saw fit to include it?

I indeed stood off to the side and away from the booth and spoke to people who approached me. I did make mention to the girls manning the booth that they should be telling the public that they do not have to fill in the options. They can say NO and just put 1 on the top box if that is what they feel. MANY people having filled in the forms when they did speak to me and found this out were angry and VERY UPSET as they didn't think they had an option.

The letter/email I sent below was sent after the conversation with a superintendent from Maroubra Police (someone knew someone) and obviously I had upset someone who wanted me "controlled". I also attach a formal letter also documenting what transpired after that Saturday- Hopefully this gives you some idea that all is not as it should be.

---

---

---

**Sent:** 11 February 2015 15:57

**To:** Kiel Smith; Kathy Neilson; Lindsay Shurey; Brendan Roberts; Anthony Andrews; Geoff Stevenson; Ted Seng; Greg Moore; Scott Nash; Harry Stavrinou; Robert Belleli; Noel D'Souza; Pat Garcia; Ray Brownlee

**Cc:** [coogee@parliament.nsw.gov.au](mailto:coogee@parliament.nsw.gov.au)

**Subject:** FW:

---

In full knowledge that on Saturday 7th of Feb.2015 while I was exercising my freedom of speech on Amalgamation.

Council staff upon my arrival at the pop up station .1 of the 2 girls manning the table started making phone calls

Within 15 min. they were joined by 3 other male staff members who stood around.

One of these staff members taking copious video and photo shots that included me.

I was also joined by Bruce Notley-Smith's team of leaflet handout helpers and the usual police van that keeps watch at Coogee.

The only 2 means by which my person and phone number could be acquired would come from Council and or Bruce Notley-Smith's office.

On Monday I received a call from Maroubra Police concerned about my behaviour and anticipating my behaviour (wrongly) on Monday morning.

I would like to assure all of council and or anyone else That I am fully within the law and that the Police have been made

"Fully Aware".

Next time any of you feel like giving out my name and phone number and wasting our very important police time, that you call me yourself.

This is extremely inappropriate behaviour

Margaret Hogg

This is our council directing the community towards the Government objective of amalgamation. When they know we do not want it and with so much to indicate the promises made about it are not true and only achieve less representation and power for the public and more for the government of the day.

\*\*\*\*NB.Council tapes of the meetings held when motion to Amalgamate with Waverley was put by Scott Nash with such inaccurate logic and facts and The Extra ordinary Meeting when a rescission motion was put(SATURDAY 7AM meeting) reveal again a question to the General Manager on the issue of WHY an electoral office vote could not be given. AGAIN the General Manager indicates they said Not possible. We however, in correspondence with the electoral Office find that they could have and were willing to coOnduct a postal vote BUT WERE NOT APPRAOCHED instead opting for what is one of the worst pieces of "Push Polling" and shoddy statistics I have ever seen. (Tony Bowen tabled that letter from the electoral office in these meetings). A process that told people ...we know you don't want to merge but you have to fill out the options....An online system that did not allow you to NOT make an option or your vote would not be counted. This is what the public were told and I am told some of the public actually had the Council attendant fill it in. (It is common knowledge that when you apply options 1;2;3 the power of the 1 is diminished by the others. This has been in my opinion deliberately used and suggest that that is why the electoral office was NOT approached. Which would have been the appropriate course on such a democratically and IMPORTANT ISSUE)

This entire process is flawed and a referendum is Essential.

I attach also a presentation I out to members of the public in Martin Place 30/6/15

I believe that in that Randwick Council has used me in their submission for a reason I find most abhorrent and WRONG this should be put up against it.