

Author name: Name suppressed

Date of submission: Friday, 16 August 2024

Your submission for this review:

Councils water supply is not for profit and for the direct essential service of the community, compared to commercial dams e.g. mining, so whilst the charging proposal is based on equality of charging across dam owners, there isnt equity in ability to pay being taken into account. Council has a relatively small and fixed population to recover that cost from. Council has Medway Rivulet water supply dam out of service, so does not have an active revenue stream attached directly to pay for the dam safety activities that council has to currently cover. An additional levy cost will have to be applied to all our customers for no additional benefit. Council has a water supply agreement with Water NSW, who will also be paying dam levy charges, which will logically be passed on to Council as we are a customer. So Council will have duplication of levy charging for the same customer base. Councils storm basin dam will be empty outside of storm events and so the risk is reduced compared to our water supply dams which are mostly operating at or near full capacity by design. To apply the consequence category for this non-revenue flood prevention safety asset in the pricing analysis may not be fairly representative.