

LG Submission Form 2021-2022 - notifications

Submission date: **16 December 2020, 12:09AM**
Receipt number: **2453**
Related form version: **2**

IPART Special Variation Submission Form

Industry	Local Government
Review	(LG) Special Variations & Minimum Rates 2021-2022
Document Reference	
Council	Central Coast Council, Application Notification Letter

Please leave your comments in the comment box below.

My name is Charles Norville [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and I submitted a report to the Minister for Local Govt in 2013 and to IPART, titled the PONZI CONCERNS OF GOVERNMENT. The debt in 2013 was \$200m and it seems that State Govt knows that Local Govt can sabotage any form of fiscal accountability. Without any proper auditing the State Govt knows that when the [REDACTED] rate payers pick up the tab, and the incompetent procedures continue the people that have directly caused this cake and [REDACTED] party of splurges get a golden parachute or get their jobs back because we have to vote for councillors that have no idea or power of what to do... rate payers are slaves with a vote its a kleptocracy one that has nepotism as an employment model. The street I live in has surfacing that is a bloody disgrace, open drains, no foot path which is real luxury in most of the council areas. I paid over 14,000 in environmental levy payment to the Local Government [REDACTED] for. Both Local and State Governments should be abolished and Economic Regions established, we should federate our laws - that's the way out of this debacle. The three tiers of Government are simply a cost shifting process onto rate payers as well as supporting the ridiculous 'Big Australia Movement' of building house for rich third World and other [REDACTED] ... meanwhile we dig holes and sell real assets to foreigners, a rocks and crops economy going nowhere. The Central Coast rate hikes will become like California everyone that is productive will leave. Oh of course its a balance between rate hikes and selling off the peoples assets, in reality we will own nothing and foreign interests will be our land lords. The State Govt failed to audit on purpose to promote the selling off of assets and shaft rate payers its all a kleptocratic Ponzi scheme because the people have a say, slaves with a vote and that's all they have.

If you have attachments you would like to include with your feedback, please attach them below.

[THE PONZI CONCERNS OF GOVERNMENT.pdf](#)

Your Details

Are you an individual or organisation?

Individual

If you would like your submission or your name to remain confidential please indicate below.

Publish - my submission and name can be published (not contact details or email address) on the IPART website

First Name

charles

Last Name

norville

Organisation Name

Position

Email

[REDACTED]

IPART's Submission Policy

I have read & accept IPART's Submission Policy

THE PONZI OF GOVERNMENT DEBT – a submission by Charles
Henry Norville

To Chris Spence

MP The Entrance

NSW State Parliament

ElectorateOffice.TheEntrance@parliament.nsw.gov.au

Attention Karen McNamara (Federal Liberal candidate for Dobell)

PO Box 3044, Tuggerah NSW 2259

karen.mcnamara@nsw.liberal.org.au

INTRODUCTION

Dear MR Spence you stated in your response on 5th Feb 2013 that *“the Council's function and decisions are entirely separate to my function as a State member. I do my best not to comment on Council's decisions as this would continue to cloud the responsibility of the State and Council's individual jurisdictions”*. I understand precisely where you are coming from but I don't agree on the basis that there are some legal parameters that are being avoided by all three levels of Government Australia. Essentially *the wool has been pulled*, so to speak.

The legal parameters are fairly rudimentary and basic and what I consider is that many local councils got themselves into debt unnecessarily due to bad investments in US subprime mortgages and

derivatives. As well there are legal and perhaps criminal losses of council employees' superannuation.

1. There were two referendums, 1974 & 1988 which gave a resounding "no" not to have Local Government recognised under the Constitution.

- a. However what was revealed by the finance officer of unknown qualification was the approximate 9% of the Wyong budget is concerned with State and Federal Government jurisdictional responsibility.

2. In particular Wyong Council Mayor Doug Eaton, a 21 year veteran in bad governance, on the meeting on 8th Feb would not comply with the point of order to reveal losses under the NSW Trustee Act.

3. The Governments of Australia agree that Wyong Shire must accept another 70,000 people (and their pets) into an ecology, and with specific regard to the salt water Lake systems that abound, that is presently unsustainable.

- a. I particularly note that most of the 70,000 people will be renters that will be funded by rate payers.

My argument is that all levels of Government are running a ponzi rip off of tax payers and rate payers and damaging the economic viability of home owners under kleptocratic government. It appears that Australian Governments are purposely incompetent and are destroying the fabric of our sovereignty.

Let me reiterate what I have previously stated to you in my email 26th


Jan : ref <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kleptocracy>

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

PONZI: <https://www.moneysmart.gov.au/scams/investment-scams/ponzi-schemes> [Australian Security & Investment Commission: definition]

[REDACTED]



NB there has been a continuing cascade of losses and debt burden by government and then enforced onto the people. So although there is the con of ponzi what we really must consider is the outright theft that is occurring by Government. I highlight the Local Government but all levels of Government are a problem.

1. Australian referendums, 1988 & 1974 (Local Government)

The Australian Constitution recognises Government at Federal and State levels, but makes no mention of local government. Constitution Alteration (Local Government) 1988 proposed to alter the constitution so as to recognise local government. The proposal was put to a referendum in the Australian referendum, 1988. The "no" campaign in 1988 argued that this change would undermine States' rights, i.e. that it would move - or make it possible to move - some power from State Governments to Local Governments.

Constitution Alteration (Local Government Bodies) 1974 was a question put to referendum in the Australian referendum, 1974. The question attempted to amend the Australian constitution to allow the federal government to grant financial assistance to any local government body, and to make loans on their behalf.

However conversely both State and Federal Governments have passed on debt rather than given financial assistance to Local Government. For years both State and Federal Governments after being funded by the general revenues of all taxpayers have misappropriate those fundings so as to pass on services to the Local rate payer as a way of servicing debt. Is it an anomaly that the people had given a resounding “no” to two referendums not to constitutionally recognise Local Government, to then have Federal and State debt passed onto rate paying voters?

It was confirmed by the Wyong council meeting 8th Feb that its (our rate payers) costs are about 9% State/Federal passed on responsibilities, <http://www.news.com.au/national/federal-and-state-governments-leave-your-councils-to-pick-up-555-million-bill-for-essential-services/story-fndo4bst-1226567013492> .

The ponzi of this is that rate payers and general tax payers pay as a matter of budgetary course for services and then by way of the rate payer at the local level have to pay for the same services, an effective double dip that cascades in losses from year to year because we are giving effect to services that we cannot afford.

What we have is a backdoor circumvention of the “no” referendum and the will of the people unlawfully being ignored by government. There is no doubt that by Local Government doing the work of both State and Federal jurisdictions that the recognition of Local Government is committed by government without the permission of the people.

To have another referendum would seem perfunctory under the circumstances and would simply smite yet again the will of the people ie that the people got it right – do not trust government.

2. The negligence of continuous ponzi debt

The self incurred losses by councils in general have been widely reported [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ; [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Council's Mayor Doug Eaton at the 8th Feb meeting on a point of order would not comply with the fiduciary duty under the NSW Trustees Act to account for massive losses and not just turned them into budget variations as the Council's finance officer did in a spat of diatribe at the meeting. The Mayor should have instructed the finance officer to comply with the NSW law. This serial Mayor and his cohorts are up to their necks in trouble and want a double dip rate rise to maintain infrastructure of all three governments that the people have already paid for.

To begin with there is no way that Wyong Council on the above financial figures could be seen as being fiduciary in their activities and be beyond the malfeasant liabilities of the NSW Local Government Act. This permissible roting of the rate payers is being administered by the NSW Minister for Local Government, because in essence the NSW Government and Wyong Shire are guilty of the double dip ponzi of taxpaying rate payers.

The belief is that this Local Government (and others) are going to be allowed to put up its rates to 9.5%/annum for seven years and with absolute confidence maintain its seven year tenure of employment opportunities and lurks and perks, whilst the community rate payers which it has failed to serve continue to lose their employment.

As all three levels of Australian Government allow for the sell up the peoples assets to maintain the multi-headed- hydra of government, rate paying home owners will find themselves without real ownership of their most expensive asset that is meant to protect them from harm. Such a predicament would eventually collapse the welfare system for the unemployed, the sick and elderly.

Local Government should be cut to the bone and the State and Federal Governments abide by the peoples' plebiscite and take on their own responsibilities services and have the fortitude to cut their own costs, not maintain them as a double dipping ponzi scheme.

The recent proposal to rip up all the tiles at The Entrance mall will cost millions rather than just simply replacing the broken tiles. This is how

government believes that it can intimidate the people through unfettered debt that has no end. It is clear that the Wyong Mayor displays his gold medallion in the bull shit Olympics with pride.

Maybe the people should be heard, see Freeman Arrest A Judge. Birkenhead UK (part 1)_(360p) :



3. The exponential experiment is over

Given that as the Local State Member Chris Spence you gave an undertaking in the NSW Parliament to seek an *engineering* (as well as environmental) solution to fix the deplorable state of Tuggerah Lake, how then can this be achieved at the same time we have exponential growth and a deteriorating environment? You clearly don't have a handle on this at all..... have you mislead the NSW Parliament and your electorate?

I think the people need to understand that we cannot continue down the path of exponential growth, the throwaway society is just about finished we can no longer pretend that we can just maintain an ecological debt and borrow against the future. It is a fraud of ponzi proportions perpetrated by kleptocratic government and their elite that we can expand the population without understanding the present population impacts on our ecology.

We are borrowing against the future of our children and that is immoral and corrupt. The most ludicrous prospect is to load up the financial responsibility onto the rate payer for essentially services that will be enjoyed by the renters and their pets – this is simply the law of diminishing returns which will destroy middle class and working class home ownership and prosperity. But the fact is we are all doomed to face a judgement of mammoth proportions.

CONCLUSION

Is it not a fact that the Australian Constitution, the Commonwealth of Australia Act 1900 (UK) is a repealable British Parliamentary Act that made us a self Governing Colony rather than a Nation as set out in the United Nations criteria of Nationhood? [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The point here is that the plebiscite of the people on “no” changes in the Constitution is treated with utter contempt by Government(s) without the basis of true Constitutional Nationhood, such as a Bill of Rights that automatically mandates Government’s Charter to the people.

We need as a society that can learn to do more with less not less with more. Australia and also the World are infested with incompetent politicians with little insight into sovereign problems.

POST SCRIPT AND CONCLUDING COMMENT

Are we not becoming a laughing stock to other Nations that wish to put us in our place according to their own standards, we cannot preach

back on democracy when we circumvent it ourselves? As the article 'Deportation from Malaysia a big mistake Independent Senator Nick Xenophon' - Senator Xenophon, who was forced to sleep on a plane in economy class for two nights, was leading a group of Australian MPs for a series of meetings about Malaysia's electoral system

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] - so much for rebuking the continuing recalcitrant behaviour of a Malaysian Prime Minister, payback time no doubt.

Charles H Norville

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]