

Author name: T. Reid

Date of submission: Tuesday, 19 October 2021

Your submission for this review:

YYes, please increase water charges, BUT, direct your charges to the usage - not the service. 1. Amazing, however, CCC have failed to correctly attribute value to water, it should cost more. 2. I suggest increasing the cost to the users by volume, not by service charge 3. I am not qualified to comment on these costs but they appear astronomical. 4. Plan for the future (less predictable rainfall, higher population, more fires & extreme weather events), consider flood management (poor record), droughts, how are todays actions improving outcomes in the future? 5. Clean drinking water for us to drink and cook with. Drains that drain and remove waste. Flood prevention and severity reduction. Drought management. Incentivise good behaviour rain water collection subsidies, mandatory rain tanks on new builds. 6. Neutral 7. Rainfall, I have a vegetable garden, if there is no rain and my tanks are empty, I need to use more tap water to keep them alive. 8. I think we need higher water prices at all times, at least double existing. I think linking water prices to dam levels is the best idea I have heard from the Council. We may have regular rates when dam levels are 100%80%, Double price 79% 60% Quadruple price 59% 40%, Sextuple, 39% and below decuple. This makes perfect sense and I strongly support this. Increase in cost is currently being pushed to service costs, not usage, this is backwards! Think of the elderly who are very conservative with their water use you are punishing them. The increase in cost should be heavily weighed to usage, I cannot comprehend the logic of the increase has been split in the proposal If you are concerned about poor people, then provide the first KL at cost, then ramp up the subsequent KL use. Please feel free to reach out if you have any further questions or clarifications, Regards, [REDACTED]