

Author name: Name suppressed

Date of submission: Thursday, 8 June 2023

Your submission for this review:

Having worked in local Government for over 30 years in most states I can honestly tell you that the rate peg / IPART model is the most inefficient, costly and unwieldy framework that exist in terms of Local Government closely followed by the IP&R framework. The IPART model is expensive, no where near as responsive and it is undemocratic. I suppose that 30 years ago local government in NSW may have required a reset and stasis on rate rises for a short period (say five years) unfortunately that is the maximum time where you might squeeze efficiency's out after that there is no juice left and you just start eroding efficiency's, services and infrastructure viability in other words after five years its counter productive. If this was not a fact , then why is local government in South Australia and Queensland recognised as being more efficient is it because they don't have rate pegging ? My submission is simply that IPART is a undemocratic structure, past its use by date, a costly red tape with no value add, its methodology has shown to be flawed on several occasions, (rate pegging its self is clunky the opposite of productive and way to slow a process in an agile environment its also costly, and counterproductive to creating efficiency's. I posit that the democratic and most efficient outcome would be to remove rate pegging entirely, let the democratic process take its course IE council vote in rate rises as required and the voting public get to have their thoughts on wether or not they want a rate rise at election time. the consultation process required by IPART is a costly nonsense across the board in terms of cost, staff time and mental health particularly as we all ready know the answer.