

Author name: M. Nicoll

Date of submission: Monday, 11 September 2023

Your submission for this review:

Our operator now is using the one rate method covering usage and supply. It works out that we are paying around .22c per kW. No SAC charge. Our community has been receiving electricity accounts using this method for over 2 years now, there doesn't appear to be a problem. I found that in the past using a median price which energy companies particular in rural areas put at a very high cost, works out that the operator was making huge amount of profit. Around \$50,000 a year. I have documents to support this. I am feeling very uneasy about this change that IPAC are trying to work out. I feel that we are heading back to how it was before [REDACTED] Reckless went to the high court and won her case that the company was not allowed to make huge profits on a necessary service to the communities. As the operator of a community does nothing to get the electricity, it just flows in and is distributed to each resident as they use it. The only costs would be reading meters, doing accounts and maintenance on the infrastructure. Which a lot of these would be covered by our weekly rent. So that the resident and the company can have a fairer outcome I suggest the one rate method, the cost of the whole electricity bill to the operator divided by the number of houses in the community = the usage charge. Then add a fee of \$10 per month to cover maintenance of the electricity infrastructure within the community.