

Author name: K. Madell

Date of submission: Tuesday, July 21, 2015

Submission: Bigger isn't always better. The current City of Sydney area is already large enough to achieve economies of scale whilst remaining local and relevant to its residents. It is financially sustainable and provides excellent service. City of Sydney has consistently demonstrated that it has a vision for the future of the city and has continued to deliver on that vision. An amalgamation of the magnitude proposed could seriously jeopardise the service levels provided to the areas now covered and also dilute the finances that are directed towards future projects in order to bring other newly amalgamated areas up to the same standard. There is a tipping point with any large organisation where the size becomes too big and ultimately ends up more inefficient. I believe this proposal would absolutely tip the scales and create a mammoth unwieldy organisation. And there is absolutely no evidence to suggest that a council of this magnitude would achieve any cost reduction or increase quality of services. I am absolutely opposed to any such amalgamation as there is a visible difference between the facilities currently available within the City of Sydney and those that are part of other councils. The City of Sydney is already fit for the future and should be left to continue its good work.