## Attachment K

Amalgamation of Leichhardt, Ashfield and Canada Bay Councils: Achievement of the Fit for the Future benchmarks, 2013-14 to 2022-23

			2	013	2014		2015	2016		2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022		2023
		Fit for the Future															
BENCHN	ARK																
Operating Performance Ratio (greater or equal to break-even average over 3 years)			1	4.0%	1.4	%	2.9%	<b>√</b> 1.7	%	3.4%	4.4%	<b>√</b> 7.5%	s 🖋 8.4%	<b>v</b> 8.39	6 🖌 7	.4% 🗬	6.9%
Own Source Revenue Ratio (greater than 60% average over 3 years)			~	83%	🖌 83	%	84%	<b>v</b> 85	%	86%	<ul> <li>✓ 86%</li> </ul>	🖌 86%	86%	✓ 879	6 🖌 8	37%	87%
Building a	nd Infrastructu	re Asset Renewal Ratio (greater than 100% average over 3 years)	×	73%	🖌 105	%	122%	🖌 139	%	128%	🖌 120%	🖌 116%	126%	🖌 1269	6 🖌 12	28%	125%
Infrastru	Infrastructure Backlog Ratio (less than 2%)		×	3%	<b>X</b> 4	% 🗙	4%	X 2	%	2%	🖌 2%	1%	1%	<b>v</b> 09	6 <b>√</b>	0%	0.00%
Asset Ma	Asset Maintenance Ratio (greater than 100% average over 3 years)		~	165%	🖌 113	%	116%	🗙 100	%	120%	🖌 119%	🖌 119%	118%	1189	6 🖌 1:	17%	117%
Debt Serv	ice Ratio (great	er than 0 and less than or equal to 20% average over 3 years)	~	2%	🖌 2	%	2%	🖌 2	%	2%	🖌 2%	a 2%	2%	🖌 19	6 <b>~</b>	1%	1%
A decreas	e in Real Opera	iting Expenditure per capita over time		0.8636	0.872	25	0.8557	0.896	3	0.8433	0.8000	0.7816	<b>0.796</b> 5	0.778	9 0.7	830	0.7872

NB: Based on 2013/14 Financial Statements.

Source: Model provided by independent consultants Morrison Low, February 2015.